

Before the  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED  
JUL 18 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

In the Matter of )  
HEAR-IT NOW Petition for )  
Rule Making )  
Section 68.4(a) of the )  
Commission's Rules: )  
Hearing Aid Compatible )  
Telephones )

RM-8658

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

ERRATA

THE CELLULAR TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION

The Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association ("CTIA")<sup>1</sup> hereby submits the attached pages as errata to its comments filed July 17, 1995, in the aforementioned proceeding. The attached items include a corrected "Table of Contents" and the Certificate of Service which was inadvertantly omitted from CTIA comments. CTIA has provided the petitioner, HEAR-IT NOW, with a copy of the attached items.


No. of Copies rec'd  
List ABCDE

2110

---

<sup>1</sup> CTIA is the international organization of the wireless communications industry for both wireless carriers and manufacturers. Membership in the association covers all Commercial Mobile Radio Service providers, including cellular, personal communications services, enhanced specialized mobile radio, and mobile satellite services.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Andrea D. Williams

Staff Counsel  
Cellular Telecommunications  
Industry Association


**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Andrea D. Williams, hereby certify that on this 17th day of July, 1995, copies of the foregoing Comments of the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association were served by hand delivery upon the following parties:

Mr. William Caton  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222  
Washington, D.C. 20554

International Transcript Service  
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 246  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Mr. Michael Ruger  
Counsel for HEAR-IT NOW  
Baker & Hostetler  
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Andrea D. Williams", written in dark ink.

Andrea D. Williams  
Staff Counsel  
Cellular Telecommunications  
Industry Association

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	The HEAR-IT NOW Petition .....	3
III.	Congress mandated an exemption for mobile service telephones based upon the physical nature of RF interference between hearing aids and mobile service telephones .....	6
IV.	Telephones used with PCS are exempted from the hearing aid compatibility requirements .....	8
V.	A rule making to limit or revoke the exemption is inappropriate, particularly when the affected industries are addressing and solving hearing aid compatibility issues .....	11
A.	The Hearing Aid Project of the Center for the Study of Wireless Electromagnetic Compatibility .....	12
B.	Hearing Aid Telephones Interconnect System (HATIS) .....	15
C.	Other Industry Solutions .....	16
VI.	The Petition provides no basis for a rule making .....	17
A.	The studies provided with the Petition demonstrate that solutions are available .....	18
B.	The Petition grossly overstates the "casual interaction" or " accidental exposure" problem .....	21
C.	The Petition fails to put the studies in proper perspective with regard to the power levels associated with European and U.S. digital telephones .....	23
D.	It is unnecessary and unwise to restrain digital technologies while industry develops solutions .....	24

VII. Part 68 of the Commission's Rules is not a solution for providing access to wireless telecommunications for the hearing impaired .....	25
VIII. A rule making proceeding will slow down competition and delay PCS service to <u>all</u> wireless customers .....	26
IX. CONCLUSION .....	29
EXHIBITS	